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Abstract
Molecular imaging such as ultrasound is capable of clarifying the expression of angiogenic markers in vivo. However, the capability of the method for volumetric “multitarget quantification” and for the test of anti-angiogenic therapy response has been investigated partly. Histologic data indicates that high concentrations of VEGFR-2 and of αβ3 integrin during the growth of tumours are associated with an upregulation of the densities of markers by the endothelial cells, while a down-regulation of during therapy is due to decreasing relative vessel density. Therefore, targeted ultrasound appears practical for the longitudinal molecular profiling of tumor angiogenesis and for the sensitive evaluation of therapy effects in vivo.
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Biology of angiogenesis
Angiogenesis, the process of new blood vessel formation, plays central role in tumour neovascularisation. Angiogenesis is needed to bring oxygen and other nutrients and to remove cellular waste residues. (Folkman, 1995; Zhu et al., 2011; Carmeliet and Jain, 2000).

Neo-angiogenesis is a key step in the initiation of tumours and can be a predictor of cancer metastasis to other organs. Recent studies in cellular and molecular biology suggest identifying new angiogenic biomarkers and their of vascular endothelial growth factor (Duda, et al., 2007) and its receptor tyrosine kinase (Young and Dyson, 1990), VEGFR-1 (Kwok et al. 2013) and VEGFR-2 (Duda, et al., 2007; Fujii et al., 2013; Kadambi et al., 2001; Tsuzuki et al., 2000; Hicklin and Ellis, 2005) on new tumour blood vessels formation.

Binding of VEGF to its receptor induces a signalling cascade that enhances the proliferation, migration and survival of endothelial cells and finally leads to angiogenesis. Primarily, the angiogenic effects of the VEGF family are mediated by VEGF-A which is the most-characterized signalling pathway in developmental and tumor angiogenesis (Selleck, 2006; Bagri, 2010; Tortora, 2008; Grothey, 2009).

The VEGF/VEGFR signalling pathway plays an effective role in both normal vasculature development and many other disease processes. The VEGF family has seven members. All members have VEGF homology domain: VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, VEGF-F and placenta growth factor (Cai and Chen, 2006; Ferrara, 2004).

It has been reported that the isoforms have VEGF-A. This homodimeric, disulphide-bound glycoprotein has different amino-acid residues, such as VEGF121 and VEGF165. Apart from the difference in molecular weights, these isoforms differ in their biologic properties in terms of their ability to bind to cell surface heparin sulphate proteoglycans (Ferrara, 2004).

VEGFR-1 is the basis of most physiologic and developmental angiogenesis, and as the stage of development changes, its activity
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cell type in which it is expressed and the physiological and pathological conditions change accordingly. VEGFR-2 is the mixture of mitogenic and angiogenic effects of VEGF. The main role of the VEGF/VEGFR signalling pathway in cancer treatment is confirmed by the approval of the humanized anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody evacizumab (Sun et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2004; Prewett et al., 1999). Such a therapeutic role has increased the necessity of scanning the VEGF/VEGFR imaging with targeted angiogenic therapy in last few years.

Ultrasound

One of the usages of Ultrasound is exposing the dynamic flow of vessels of large, usually less than 500 μm, in diameter. This unfortunately means the imaging resolution cannot distinguish angiogenic capillaries. For this purpose two ultrasound modes can be combined, gray-scale and Power Doppler. Therefore, Doppler ultrasound can better determine the flow and flow resistance in native vasculature and vasculogenesis, which means that additional mature vessels have been recruited to the tumor (Halpern and Strup, 2000).

Much research has been conducted on benign and malignant lesion. Analysing the spectral wave pattern can determine blood velocity, direction and downstream resistance. The function of Resistive Index (RI) is to indicate the diastolic vascular resistance in a tumour. However, low vascular resistance and high vascular resistance are concerned with the lack of vasomotor in low and high oncotic interstitial pressures, respectively. Hence, the heterogeneous nature of tumour vascularity may show both high and low RI values in the same lesion. However, there can be a notable overlap of RIs between benign and malignant lesions (Cosgrove, 2014). RI measurements are related to microvessel density (MVD) in breast, brain and ovarian cancer, but the connection is indirect since the microvessels are significantly below the spatial resolution of ultrasound (Turkbey et al., 2009). Contrast-enhanced ultrasound using microbubbles can detect images from smaller vessels and facilitate the assessment of patient response to anti-angiogenesis treatment in some tumour types. However, due to some constraints in spatial resolution, operator dependence, the short time window for imaging, and the limited field of view (Hata et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2002; Alcazar, 2006) this type of ultrasound has not been extensively used.

Ultrasound Imaging of VEGFRs and Endostatin

Some features (e.g. safety, low cost, ease of use, and wide availability) (Bloch et al., 2004) of ultrasound (ultrasonography) has made it the most commonly used clinical imaging system. The contrast of ultrasound depends on four major parameters, such as sound speed, sound attenuation, imaging algorithm, and backscatter (Wink et al., 2006). Ultrasound can be used to depict the microcirculation with two methods of Doppler and microbubble methods (Liang and Blomley, 2014).

The power of Doppler signal can be quantified to provide an estimate of relative fractional vascular volume, whereas microbubbles can show blood flow down to the microcirculation level by increasing the signal from smaller vessels. Non-invasive ultrasound imaging which emphasize results like DCE-MRI, can be obtained during tumour development or anti-angiogenesis treatment. The results are comparable with ex vivo histologic data (Drevs et al., 2000; Forsberg et al., 2004; Forsberg et al., 2002; Cheung et al., 20070. However, the data have not shown non-invasive direct imaging of VEGF/VEGFR expression and only new ultrasound imaging of VEGF/VEGFR expression was not reported (Korpanty et al., 2007).

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (Kittel et al., 1976) imaging usually uses microbubbles, that are roughly a micrometer in size. This means that only the tumour endothelium can be targeted, since these microbubbles are too large to extravasate. In a mouse model of pancreatic cancer, targeted microbubbles were utilized to reflect and calculate the vascular effects of two anti-tumour therapies on both subcutaneous and orthotopic pancreatic tumours (orpanty et al., 2005).

Tumour-bearing mice were treated with anti-VEGF, gemcitabine and the place of antibody-conjugated microbubbles to VEGFR-2 expressing or VEGF-activated blood vessels was detected by CEU. Signal intensity of the tumour vasculature was significantly increased with the targeted microbubbles compared with untargeted or control IgG–conjugated microbubbles. The video signal intensity from the targeted microbubbles under therapy was also variable with the level of expression of the target (either VEGFR-2 or the VEGF–VEGFR complex), and with the density of the tumour vascularization. In another study, VEGF-2 expression in two murine tumour models was imaged with microbubbles technique. The microbubbles were conjugated to anti–VEGFR-2 monoclonal antibodies (Willmann et al., 2008).

The targeted microbubbles produced a remarkably higher average video signal intensity compared to control microbubbles in both tumour models. Whereas, the video signal intensity was also lower when anti–VEGFR-2 antibodies (blocking antibodies) were used, to show target specificity. These reports show that targeted microbubbles can be a unique and attractive tool for the imaging of tumour angiogenesis, like for the in vivo demonstrating of vascular effects after therapy. Ultrasound has a high spatial resolution in range of 50–500 μm, however it also has some disadvantages, such as relatively poor tissue penetration (generally a few centimetres, depends on the frequency used) and limited sensitivity (Massoud and Gambhir, 2003).

In addition, further development of molecular imaging with ultrasound might allow molecular imaging of a greater number of diseases, which will advance in technology for ligand attachment...
to microbubbles, greater characterization of the acoustic behaviour of targeted contrast agents, and more sensitive methods. Acoustic failure of "payload-bearing" microbubbles has been used to deliver drugs or to adjoin gene transfection (Shohet et al., 2000). Thus, angiogenesis-targeted microbubbles may also have future applications in site-specific cancer therapy (Young and Dyson, 1990).

Cationic liposomes consist of plasmid DNA attached to the phospholipid shell of gas-filled microbubbles 2–4 μm in diameter. The microbubble–liposome complexes are infused intravenously (i.v.) and destructed within the myocardial microcirculation by low-frequency ultrasound (Korporanty et al., 2005).

The targeted endostatin microbubbles, complexed with focused, directional ultrasound radiation can destroy tumour microvasculature of subcutaneous colon translocation in situ colon cancer, so inhibits the tumour angiogenesis (Kwok et al., 2013). Ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction (UTMD) can be utilized to deliver silencing gene therapy to tumours. Researchers hypothesized that UTMD would be effective in suppressing angiogenesis within tumours, and that the magnitude of target knockdown will be affected by the modulation of the ultrasound pulsing intervals (Korporanty et al., 2005) during UTMD.

UTMD of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2) short hairpin (sh)RNA plasmid in an heterotopic mammary adenocarcinoma model in rats was used to evaluate PIs of 2, 5, 10, and 20 seconds. The findings that UTMD with a PI of 10 seconds led to a more efficient knockdown of VEGFR2 by PCR, immunostaining, western blotting as well as smaller tumour volumes and perfused areas, and lower tumour microvascular blood volume (MBV) and flow by contrast-enhanced ultrasound (Kittel et al., 2010) relative to UTMD-treated tumours at 2, 5, and 20 seconds, control tumours, tumours treated with intravenous shRNA plasmid and scrambled plasmid.

CEU perfusion assessment using a therapeutic probe showed that tumors were fully replenished with microbubbles within 10 seconds, but incompletely replenished at PI-2 and PI-5 seconds. Finally, for anti-VEGFR2 cancer gene therapy by UTMD, PI of 10 seconds leads to higher target knockdown and a more anti-angiogenic effect. Complete replacing of tumour vasculature with silencing gene-bearing microbubbles in between destructive pulses of UTMD is needed to maximize the efficacy of anti-angiogenic cancer gene therapy (Fujii, H., et al., 2013).

**Contrast-enhanced ultrasound**

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (Kittel et al., 2010) techniques are based on the ultrasonic detection of microbubble contrast agents during their microvascular transit. The techniques have been developed for the molecular imaging of the vascular phenotype as well as the characterization of angiogenesis by utilizing novel site-targeted micro bubbles. These molecular imaging techniques have the potential to further characterize the angiogenic response. Its aim is to optimize gene- and cell-based strategies for therapeutics targeting neovascularisation, and finally serve to show the therapeutic effects in patients registered in clinical trials of regenerative therapies (Leong-Poi, 2009).

CEU methods estimate tissue perfusions which utilize free-flowing non-targeted microbubbles, the method applies custom-designed microbubble contrast agents that are in regions of disease by virtue of their shell composition or by the conjugation of specific targeting ligands on their outer surface. Since microbubbles stay completely within the intravascular space throughout their circulation, the processes that can be targeted are characterized by processes that occur primarily within the vascular compartment like atherosclerosis, ischemia, and inflammation (Dewitte et al., 2011).

The ability to distinguish the first molecular changes that happen in angiogenesis, before changes in perfusion or vascular morphology, would allow early monitoring of therapies. In pre-clinical studies, such techniques could also produce data on the physiological responses to angiogenic and cell-based therapies, which clarifies future insight into mechanisms related to the pro-angiogenic response.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, molecular ultrasound is a hopeful quantitative technology for imaging of multiple angiogenic markers. It prepares a high sensitivity as contrast agents, excellent biocompatibility, and meaningful potential for clinical translation. It is suitable for the identification of angiogenic markers as well as the evaluation of anti-angiogenic therapy effects. Thus, utilizing molecular ultrasound suggests multiple options to develop biomedical research in the future.
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